



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/695,704	10/25/2000	Axel Thomsen	50246-171	1502
75	90 05/19/2003	·		
Barry S Newberger Esq Winstead Sechrest & Minick PC P O Box 50784 1201 Elm Street			EXAMINER	
			DO, CHAT C	
Dallas, TX 752			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2124	7
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/10/2002	- 1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
•		09/695,704	THOMSEN ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Chat C. Do	2124
Period fo	, ,		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA resions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rejication. 1ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty 10ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT 1. by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this communication. NNDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	i on <i>10/25/00;1/1/01;4/9/01;10/2</i> 5/0	<u>)1;7/9/01</u> .
2a)□	•	This action is non-final.	
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for	or allowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
, —	closed in accordance with the practic ion of Claims	e under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the app	lication.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
—	Claim(s) are subject to restriction Papers	on and/or election requirement.	
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by th	ne Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed	on is: a)□ approved b)□ di	sapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are requ	ired in reply to this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority de	ocuments have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority de	ocuments have been received in Ap	pplication No
* ;	 Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation from the attached detailed Office action 	tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
	a) The translation of the foreign lang Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	uage provisional application has be	een received.
Attachme		-	
1) Noti 2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo- rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page	O-948) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
J.S. Patent and PTO-326 (R	Trademark Office ev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 7

Art Unit: 2124

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following many reference signs not mentioned in the description. For examples, the reference signs are not mentioned in the description of Figures 1.2 A, 1.2 B, 1.3... A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. Figures 1.2C and 1.4 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because it illustrates a typical FIR filter. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the line "WDC99 337570-1.050246.0171" must be removed. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The applicant is advised to update information in the cross-references to related applications in pages 1-2.

Equation in line 11 page 3 is missing right bracket. It should be " $Y(z) = Sum(A_i * X* Z^{-1})$ ".

Art Unit: 2124

There are many drawings that are not clearly mentioned in the specification such as drawings 1.2 A, 1.2 B, 1.3, 1.5X...

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Even though, the specification briefly mentions the output mechanism selectively providing either the fully settle data or all data from the FIR filter. However, the specification does not clearly explain in fully detail how the integrated circuit is structured and operated to provide a control mechanism for selectively providing the desire data from the FIR filter.

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/695,704

Art Unit: 2124

Re claim 1, it is indefinite by what the limitations "fully settle data" and "all data" mean. For examination purposes, the examiner considers the limitations "fully settle data" as the output data from an FIR filter only when input data are fully filled and "all data" as the normal output data from an FIR filter. Claims 7-8 have the same problem.

Thus, claims 2-6 are also rejected for being dependent on the rejected claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Cabler et al. (U.S. 5,656,621).

Re claim 1, Cabler et al. disclose in Figure 39 an integrated circuit comprising: an analog to digital converter (900) and an FIR filter (902). Cabler et al. does not disclose an output mechanism selectively providing either only fully settled data from the FIR filter or all data from the FIR filter, including unsettled data. However, Cabler et al. disclose in Figure 2 an output mechanism (602) selectively providing either a partial result or a full result from the FIR filter (677) using a control signal (604). In addition, it is obvious in the art to obtain the fully settled data from the FIR filter. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention is made to add an output mechanism selector in Figure 2 for selecting the

Art Unit: 2124

desired results to the FIR filter in Figure 1 of Cabler et al. because it would enable the operator to select the desired signals, to reduce the initial unsteady state, and to increase the immunity from the process variation.

Re claim 2, Cabler et al. further disclose the output mechanism comprises an external pin on the integrated circuit to which a user can apply a control signal to control the selection of fully settled data from the FIR filter or all data from the FIR filter including unsettle data (604 in Figure 2).

Re claim 3, Cabler et al. further disclose the output mechanism comprises an one or more bits on a register of the integrated circuit to which a user can set to control the selection of fully settled data from the FIR filter or all data from the FIR filter including unsettle data (566 in Figure 7).

Re claim 4, Cabler et al. further disclose one or more bits on a register of the integrated circuit are set over a serial port interface (570 in Figure 7).

Re claim 5, Cabler et al. further disclose the analog to digital converter is a delta sigma modular (900).

Re claim 6, Cabler et al. further disclose the FIR filter is a decimation filter (902).

Re claim 7, it is a design method of claim 1. Thus, claim 7 is also rejected under the same rationale in the rejection of rejected claim 1.

Re claim 8, it is a fabricated method of claim 1. Thus, claim 8 is also rejected under the same rationale in the rejection of rejected claim 1.

Art Unit: 2124

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a. U.S. Patent No. 5,917,735 to Ko discloses an apparatus and method for compensating for timing and phase error using a poly-phase filter.
- b. U.S. Patent No. 5,600,582 to Miyaguchi discloses a programmable horizontal line filter implemented with synchronous vector processor.
- c. U.S. Patent No. 56,321,246 to Page et al. disclose a linear phase FIR sinc filter with multiplexing.
- d. U.S. Patent No. 5,027,306 to Dattorro et al. disclose a decimation filter as for a sigma-delta analog-to-digital converter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chat C. Do whose telephone number is (703) 305-5655. The examiner can normally be reached on M => F from 7:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chaki Kakali can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Art Unit: 2124

Chat C. Do Examiner Art Unit 2124

May 8, 2003

CHUONG DINH NGO PRIMARY EXAMINER